Errata

Due to a printing error in the prior edition of the Journal of Public Law, the meaning of footnote 18 of the Foreword written by Rex E. Lee was distorted and confused.

Footnote 18 in the Foreword written by Rex E. Lee should read as follows:

Following the recent Supreme Court decision in Nollan v. California Coastal Comm'n., 86-133 (U.S. June 26, 1987), controversy is likely to increase regarding the proper standard of review of the ends-means relationship between the purposes of land-use regulations and the means applied. Holding that a condition placed upon a development permit was an improper use of the police power, the Court stated that "the evident constitutional propriety (of conditions placed upon building permit) disappears . . . If the condition substituted for the prohibition utterly fails to further the end advanced as the justification for the prohibition." Id. For a discussion of the Nollan case see Berger, The Year of the Taking Issue, 1 B.Y.U. J. Pub. L. 261, 315 (1987).
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