

1978

Michael J. Hillyard v. City Court of Logan City : Brief of Respondent in Reply to Amicus Curiae's Brief

Utah Supreme Court

Follow this and additional works at: https://digitalcommons.law.byu.edu/uofu_sc2



Part of the [Law Commons](#)

Original Brief submitted to the Utah Supreme Court; funding for digitization provided by the Institute of Museum and Library Services through the Library Services and Technology Act, administered by the Utah State Library, and sponsored by the S.J. Quinney Law Library; machine-generated OCR, may contain errors.

B. M. Harris; Attorney for Defendant and Appellant;

Hillyard, Gunnell & Low; Attorneys for Plaintiff and Respondent;

Recommended Citation

Brief of Respondent, *Hillyard v. City Court of Logan City*, No. 15298 (Utah Supreme Court, 1978).
https://digitalcommons.law.byu.edu/uofu_sc2/712

This Brief of Respondent is brought to you for free and open access by BYU Law Digital Commons. It has been accepted for inclusion in Utah Supreme Court Briefs (1965 –) by an authorized administrator of BYU Law Digital Commons. For more information, please contact hunterlawlibrary@byu.edu.

IN THE SUPREME COURT
OF THE
STATE OF UTAH

MICHAEL J. HILLYARD,
Plaintiff and Respondent,

vs.

CITY COURT OF LOGAN CITY,
COUNTY OF CACHE, STATE OF
UTAH,

Defendant and Appellant.

*
*
*
*
*
*
*
*
*
*

Case No. 15298
15964

RESPONDENT'S BRIEF IN REPLY TO AMICUS CURIAE'S
BRIEF

* * *

HILLYARD, LOW & ANDERSON
Gordon J. Low
175 East First North
Logan, Utah 84321

Attorney for Plaintiff
and Respondent.

* * *

B. H. HARRIS
CACHE COUNTY ATTORNEY

By: GEORGE W. PETERSON
Deputy Cache County Attorney
31 Federal Avenue
Logan, Utah 84321

FILED

SEP 7 1978

STATEWIDE ASSOCIATES OF
PROSECUTORS OF UTAH

By: DAVID S. YOUNG, Director
220 South Second East, Salt Lake City

Clerk, Supreme Court, Utah

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<u>Page</u>
STATEMENT OF THE NATURE OF THE CASE	1
CONCLUSION	2

therefore has no bearing on the Respondent. It may be all well and good for the Prosecutors of Utah to be better informed and instructed with support to the applications of the Circuit Court Act, but not at the Respondent's expense.

The Respondant is the person in jeopardy in this matter, not the Utah Prosecutors, not the Legislators, not the Circuit Courts.


This Court should decide the case as it already has and leave to the Legislature to redraft the legislation if the same needs to be rewritten as the Amicus Curiae Brief would suggest.

CONCLUSION

The original decision by the Court should stand.

RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED this 31st day of August, 1978

HILLYARD, LOW & ANDERSON



Gordon J. Low

CERTIFICATE OF MAILING

I hereby certify that I mailed a conforming copy of the foregoing Brief, postpaid, to the following:

Mr. George W. Preston
Deputy Cache County Attorney
Attorney for Defendant and Appellant
31 Federal Avenue
Logan, Utah 84321

David S. Young, Director
STATEWIDE ASSOCIATION OF PROSECUTORS OF UTAH
220 South Second East, Suite 440
Salt Lake City, Utah 84111