

1978

In Re: Robert B. Hansen Disciplinary Proceeding : Petition For Rehearing

Utah Supreme Court

Follow this and additional works at: https://digitalcommons.law.byu.edu/uofu_sc2



Part of the [Law Commons](#)

Original Brief submitted to the Utah Supreme Court; funding for digitization provided by the Institute of Museum and Library Services through the Library Services and Technology Act, administered by the Utah State Library, and sponsored by the S.J. Quinney Law Library; machine-generated OCR, may contain errors. LaVar E. Stark, Francis M. Wikstrom, Brian R. Florence; Attorneys for Utah State Bar Commission

Recommended Citation

Petition for Rehearing, *In re Hansen*, No. 15605 (Utah Supreme Court, 1978).
https://digitalcommons.law.byu.edu/uofu_sc2/1040

This Petition for Rehearing is brought to you for free and open access by BYU Law Digital Commons. It has been accepted for inclusion in Utah Supreme Court Briefs (1965 –) by an authorized administrator of BYU Law Digital Commons. For more information, please contact hunterlawlibrary@byu.edu.

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF UTAH

| | | |
|-------------------------|---|-----------|
| In Re: ROBERT B. HANSEN |) | |
| |) | No. 15605 |
| Disciplinary Proceeding |) | |

PETITION FOR REHEARING

LA VAR E. STARK, Esq.
2651 Washington Boulevard
Suite No. 10
Ogden, Utah 84401

FRANCIS M. WIKSTROM, Esq.
543 25th Street
Ogden, Utah 84401

BRIAN R. FLORENCE, Esq.
818 26th Street
Ogden, Utah 84401

Attorneys for Utah State Bar Commission

EDWARD W. CLYDE, Esq.
WILLIAM G. GIBBS, Esq.
351 South State Street
Salt Lake City, Utah 84111

Attorneys for Appellant

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| | <u>PAGE</u> |
|--|-------------|
| PETITION FOR REHEARING----- | 1 |
| BRIEF IN SUPPORT OF PETITION----- | 1 |
| <u>POINT ONE</u> | |
| THE COURT ERRED IN HOLDING APPELLANT IS ENTITLED TO SPECIAL TREATMENT BECAUSE HE IS ATTORNEY GENERAL----- | 1 |
| <u>POINT TWO</u> | |
| THE COURT FAILED TO CONSIDER THE DUTY OF PROTECTING THE PUBLIC IN DETERMINING THE SANCTION TO APPLY TO APPELLANT----- | 2 |
| <u>POINT THREE</u> | |
| THE COURT ERRED BY APPLYING AN IMPROPER STANDARD OF REVIEW OF THE FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS OF THE BAR COMMISSION IN DISCIPLINARY MATTERS----- | 3 |
| <u>POINT FOUR</u> | |
| THE COURT ERRED IN FAILING TO CONSIDER MATERIAL ASPECTS OF APPELLANT'S CONDUCT IN DETERMINING THE SANCTION TO APPLY----- | 5 |
| <u>CONCLUSION</u> ----- | 9 |
| CASES CITED: | |
| In re Johnston, 524 P.2d 593, 594----- | 4 |
| In re Badger, 493 P.2d 1273----- | 4 |
| In re Wade, 497 P.2d 106----- | 4 |
| In re MacFarlane, 350 P.2d 631, 633----- | 4 |
| In re Fullmer, 405 P.2d 343, 344 (Ut. 1965)---- | 4 |

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF UTAH

In Re: ROBERT B. HANSEN)
) No. 15605
Disciplinary Proceedings)

PETITION FOR REHEARING

 This Court affirmed the findings of the Utah State Bar that appellant had violated several specific Rules of Professional Conduct under three counts, but rejected the Bar's recommendation that appellant be suspended from the practice of law for one year and instead issued a reprimand.

 The rejection of the recommendation was error, and the Bar Commission petitions the Court for rehearing on the following grounds:

ONE

 The Court erred in giving appellant special treatment because he is Attorney General.

TWO

 The Court failed to consider the duty of protecting the public in determining the sanction to apply to appellant.

THREE

 The Court erred by applying an improper standard of review of the findings and recommendations of the Bar Commission in disciplinary matters.


FOUR

The Court erred in failing to consider material aspects of appellant's conduct in determining the sanctions to apply.

WHEREFORE, petitioner prays for rehearing and upon such rehearing the Court vacate its decision on file herein and affirm the recommendation of the Bar Commission and suspend.

DATED this 31 day of August, 1978.

Respectively submitted,


LaVar E. Stark


Francis M. Wikstrom


Brian R. Florence

Attorneys for Utah State Bar Commission