

2008

Howick v. Salt Lake City Employee Appeals Board et al. : Unknown

Utah Court of Appeals

Follow this and additional works at: https://digitalcommons.law.byu.edu/byu_ca3



Part of the [Law Commons](#)

Original Brief Submitted to the Utah Court of Appeals; digitized by the Howard W. Hunter Law Library, J. Reuben Clark Law School, Brigham Young University, Provo, Utah; machine-generated OCR, may contain errors.

W. Mark Gavre; Parson Behle .

Elizabeth T. Dunning; Holme Roberts .

Recommended Citation

Legal Brief, *Howick v. SLC Employee Appeals*, No. 20080608 (Utah Court of Appeals, 2008).
https://digitalcommons.law.byu.edu/byu_ca3/1043

This Legal Brief is brought to you for free and open access by BYU Law Digital Commons. It has been accepted for inclusion in Utah Court of Appeals Briefs by an authorized administrator of BYU Law Digital Commons. Policies regarding these Utah briefs are available at http://digitalcommons.law.byu.edu/utah_court_briefs/policies.html. Please contact the Repository Manager at hunterlawlibrary@byu.edu with questions or feedback.



Holme Roberts & Owen LLP
Attorneys at Law

FILED
UTAH APPELLATE COURTS

MAR 19 2009

Docket # 20080608

SALT LAKE CITY

HAND DELIVERED

BOULDER

March 18, 2009

COLORADO SPRINGS

Lisa Collins
Clerk, Utah Court of Appeals
Scott M. Matheson Courthouse
450 South State Street, 5th Floor
Salt Lake City, Utah

DENVER

Re: Howick v. Salt Lake City Employee Appeals Board et al.

LONDON

Dear Ms. Collins:

In preparing for oral argument before the Court in the above-entitled matter scheduled on March 24 at 9:30 am, I noticed a factual error that appears in two places in the Brief of Appellant ("Brief").

LOS ANGELES

In the first paragraph on page 6 of the Brief, the sentence appears, "The City's Labor Relations Officer, who staffs the Board in the appeal process, refused to initiate the appeal process and instead obtained *information relating to* Howick's former position in the Attorney's Office." The italicized words should be omitted and the sentence should read, "The City's Labor Relations Officer, who staffs the Board in the appeal process, refused to initiate the appeal process and instead obtained Howick's former position in the Attorney's Office." Appendix 4, Exhibit B cited on page 6 contains the correct statement of this fact.

MUNICH

PHOENIX

Similarly, the last sentence in the first paragraph on page 41 of the Brief states that the Labor Relations Officer obtained Howick's *job description*. It should state that the Labor Relations Officer obtained Howick's job.


SAN FRANCISCO

Holme Roberts & Owen LLP
Attorneys at Law

Lisa Collins
March 18, 2009
Page 2

I apologize for these errors and for any inconvenience they may have caused the Court.

Very truly yours,


Elizabeth T. Dunning

cc: W. Mark Gavre