

2001

Luther H. Thomas v. Glen Peterson : Petition for Rehearing

Utah Supreme Court

Follow this and additional works at: https://digitalcommons.law.byu.edu/byu_sc2



Part of the [Law Commons](#)

Original Brief Submitted to the Utah Supreme Court; digitized by the Howard W. Hunter Law Library, J. Reuben Clark Law School, Brigham Young University, Provo, Utah; machine-generated OCR, may contain errors.

Everett E. Dahl; Attorney for appellant.

Gerald E. Nielson; Attorney for Respondent.

Recommended Citation

Legal Brief, *Luther H. Thomas v. Glen Peterson*, No. 14034.00 (Utah Supreme Court, 2001).
https://digitalcommons.law.byu.edu/byu_sc2/1166

This Legal Brief is brought to you for free and open access by BYU Law Digital Commons. It has been accepted for inclusion in Utah Supreme Court Briefs by an authorized administrator of BYU Law Digital Commons. Policies regarding these Utah briefs are available at http://digitalcommons.law.byu.edu/utah_court_briefs/policies.html. Please contact the Repository Manager at hunterlawlibrary@byu.edu with questions or feedback.

RECEIVED
LAW LIBRARY

30 MAR 1978

IN THE SUPRME COURT
OF THE STATE OF UTAH

BRIGHAM YOUNG UNIVERSITY
J. Reuben Clark Law School

LUTHER H. THOMAS,
Plaintiff-Respondent,
vs.
GLEN PETERSON,
Defendant-Appellant.

CASE NO. 14034

PETITION FOR REHEARING

APPEAL FROM THE JUDGMENT OF THE SEVENTH
DISTRICT COURT FOR EMERY COUNTY
THE HONORABLE EDWARD SHEYA, JUSTICE

EVERETT E. DAHL
760 East Center Street
Midvale, Utah 84047
Attorney for Appellant
Glen Peterson

GERALD E. NIELSON
840 Kennecott Building
Salt Lake City, Utah 84133
Attorney for Respondent

FILED

JAN 27 1976

Clerk, Supreme Court, Utah

IN THE SUPRME COURT
OF THE STATE OF UTAH

LUTHER H. THOMAS,

Plaintiff-Respondent,

vs.

GLEN PETERSON,

Defendant-Appellant.

CASE NO. 14034

PETITION FOR REHEARING

APPEAL FROM THE JUDGMENT OF THE SEVENTH
DISTRICT COURT FOR EMERY COUNTY
THE HONORABLE EDWARD SHEYA, JUSTICE

EVERETT E. DAHL
760 East Center Street
Midvale, Utah 84047
Attorney for Appellant
Glen Peterson


GERALD E. NIELSON
840 Kennecott Building
Salt Lake City, Utah 84133
Attorney for Respondent

major stockholder and general manager of the corporation who is the plaintiff. Appellant also raised the issue that the contract had not complied with the Uniform Commercial Code. In addition, issues were raised in the appeal to the effect that Mr. Thomas had no assets to sell to the corporation, because of the fact that the assets were already in the corporation.

3. If the Court is of the opinion that the issues of law raised in this case are not valid, at least the opinion should recite what the law is.

The appellant respectfully urges that the Court reexamine the opinion in this case and reschedule the matter for rehearing and reconsideration.

Respectfully submitted,



Everett E. Dahl
Attorney for Defendant and Appellant

**RECEIVED
LAW LIBRARY**

30 MAR 1976

**BRIGHAM YOUNG UNIVERSITY
J. Reuben Clark Law School**