UTAH COURT OF APPEALS
BRIEF

UTAH
DOCUMENT
KFU
50
.A10
DOCKET NO. 860370-CA

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE

STATE OF UTAH

BLAINE GOODRICH, DAVID HOVA,
VAL KIDMAN, STERLING JONES,
and DANIEL WAYMAN,

Plaintiffs,

vs.

WESTERN AUTO RADIATOR CO.,
INC., a Utah Corporation,

Defendant and
Appellant,

WILLIAM W. BOWERBANK,
JONATHAN BOWERBANK and
KIM BOWERBANK,

Defendants,

vs.

WILLARD L. SMITH and
KEITH C. SMITH,

Defendants and
Respondents.

860370-CA

Case No. ~~860334~~

BRIEF OF RESPONDENTS

Appeal From Judgment Of The Third Judicial
District Court For Salt Lake County
Honorable Dean E. Conder, District Judge

David S. Cook - 0715
85 West 400 North
Bountiful, Utah 84010
Telephone: (801)292-7216
Attorney for Willard L. Smith and
Keith C. Smith, Defendants and
Respondents

James A. McIntyre - 2196
Leland Dennis - 0867
McINTYRE & DENNIS, P.C.
P.O. Box 7280
Salt Lake City, Utah 84107-0280
Telephone: (801)561-8500
Attorneys for Appellant,
Western Auto Radiator Co., Inc.

FILED
JAN 26 1987
Clerk, Supreme Court, Utah

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE

STATE OF UTAH

BLAINE GOODRICH, DAVID HOYT, VAL KIDMAN, STERLING JONES, and DANIEL WAYMAN, Plaintiffs, vs. WESTERN AUTO RADIATOR CO., INC., a Utah Corporation, Defendant and Appellant, WILLIAM W. BOWERBANK, JONATHAN BOWERBANK and KIM BOWERBANK, Defendants, vs. WILLARD L. SMITH and KEITH C. SMITH, Defendants and Respondents.	)	Case No. 860334

BRIEF OF RESPONDENTS

Appeal From Judgment Of The Third Judicial
District Court For Salt Lake County
Honorable Dean E. Conder, District Judge

David S. Cook - 0715
85 West 400 North
Bountiful, Utah 84010
Telephone: (801)292-7216
Attorney for Willard L. Smith and
Keith C. Smith, Defendants and
Respondents

James A. McIntyre - 2196
Leland Dennis - 0867
McINTYRE & DENNIS, P.C.
P.O. Box 7280
Salt Lake City, Utah 84107-0280
Telephone: (801)561-8500
Attorneys for Appellant,
Western Auto Radiator Co., Inc.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
TABLE OF AUTHORITIES	ii
STATEMENT OF ISSUES PRESENTED FOR REVIEW	iv
RELEVANT STATUTE -- SECTION 16-10-4(o), UTAH CODE ANNOTATED (1953)	iv
STATEMENT OF THE CASE	1
Nature Of The Case	1
The Course Of Proceedings	3
Disposition In Court Below	8
STATEMENT OF FACTS	9
SUMMARY OF ARGUMENTS	23
ARGUMENT	26
POINT I. Western Is Not Entitled To Challenge The Judgment On Appeal	26
POINT II. Determination Of Smiths' Claims By The Court Was Proper	27
POINT III. The Court Properly Required Western To Reimburse Smiths For Their Professional Time and Services Taken By The Litigation	30
POINT IV. The Court Properly Required Western To Pay Reasonable Attorney's Fees	40
POINT V. Smiths Are Entitled To A Further Award Of Attorney's Fees And Costs For Post-Trial And Appellate Legal Services	41
CONCLUSION	42