BYU Law Review

Volume 2017 | Issue 6

Article 3

August 2017

Table of Contents

Follow this and additional works at: https://digitalcommons.law.byu.edu/lawreview

Recommended Citation

Table of Contents, 2017 BYU L. Rev. (2018).

Available at: https://digitalcommons.law.byu.edu/lawreview/vol2017/iss6/3

This Frontmatter is brought to you for free and open access by the Brigham Young University Law Review at BYU Law Digital Commons. It has been accepted for inclusion in BYU Law Review by an authorized editor of BYU Law Digital Commons. For more information, please contact hunterlawlibrary@byu.edu.

Brigham Young University Law Review

VOLUME 2017 NUMBER 6

ARTICLES

Comments on James C. Phillips & Jesse Egbert, Advancing Law and Corpus Linguistics: Importing Principles and Practices from Survey and Content-Analysis Methodologies to Improve Corpus Design and Analysis Edward Finegan	7
\mathcal{E}	
Corpus Linguistics as a Tool in Legal Interpretation Lawrence M. Solan & Tammy Gales	1
A Lawyer's Introduction to Meaning in the Framework of Corpus Linguistics	
Neal Goldfarb1359	9
<i>y</i>	
Ordinary Meaning and Corpus Linguistics Stefan Th. Gries & Brian G. Slocum	7
E-iden as Deced Insignment and Marks I and I in societies	
Evidence-Based Jurisprudence Meets Legal Linguistics—	
Unlikely Blends Made in Germany Hanjo Hamann & Friedemann Vogel147	3
Corpus Linguistics and the Criminal Law Carissa Byrne Hessick	3
Datamining the Meaning(s) of <i>Progress</i> Jake Linford	1
TI D	
The Dictionary as a Specialized Corpus	_
Jennifer L. Mascott155	/
Advancing Law and Corpus Linguistics: Importing Principles and Practices from Survey and Content-Analysis Methodologies to Improve Corpus Design and Analysis	
James C. Phillips & Jesse Egbert	9

BRIGHAM YOUNG UNIVERSITY LAW REVIEW

Triangulating Public Meaning: Corpus Linguistics, Immersion, and the Constitutional Record
Lawrence B. Solum1621
The Original Meaning of "religion" in the First Amendment: A Test Case of Originalism's Utilization of Corpus Linguistics Lee J. Strang
The Power of Words: A Comment on Hamann and Vogel's
Evidence-Based Jurisprudence Meets Legal Linguistics—Unlikely Blends Made in Germany
Mark C. Suchman1751