

1988

## Jaime Ramon v. Farr : Unknown

Utah Supreme Court

Follow this and additional works at: [https://digitalcommons.law.byu.edu/byu\\_sc1](https://digitalcommons.law.byu.edu/byu_sc1)



Part of the [Law Commons](#)

Original Brief Submitted to the Utah Supreme Court; digitized by the Howard W. Hunter Law Library, J. Reuben Clark Law School, Brigham Young University, Provo, Utah; machine-generated OCR, may contain errors.

Unknown.

Unknown.

---

### Recommended Citation

Legal Brief, *Ramon v. Farr*, No. 198820481.00 (Utah Supreme Court, 1988).  
[https://digitalcommons.law.byu.edu/byu\\_sc1/2415](https://digitalcommons.law.byu.edu/byu_sc1/2415)

This Legal Brief is brought to you for free and open access by BYU Law Digital Commons. It has been accepted for inclusion in Utah Supreme Court Briefs by an authorized administrator of BYU Law Digital Commons. Policies regarding these Utah briefs are available at [http://digitalcommons.law.byu.edu/utah\\_court\\_briefs/policies.html](http://digitalcommons.law.byu.edu/utah_court_briefs/policies.html). Please contact the Repository Manager at [hunterlawlibrary@byu.edu](mailto:hunterlawlibrary@byu.edu) with questions or feedback.

UTAH SUPREME COURT  
BRIEF

UTAH  
DOCUMENT  
K F U  
45.0  
S9  
DOCKET NO. 20481  
WILLIAM H. KING  
(1906-1983)  
FELSHAW KING  
GLEN T. CELLA

1988 20481

LAW OFFICES

KING & KING

A PROFESSIONAL CORPORATION

POST OFFICE BOX 220

251 EAST 200 SOUTH

CLEARFIELD, UTAH 84015

February 12, 1988

TELEPHONE  
(801) 825-2202

COPY

Mr. Geoffrey J. Butler  
Clerk of the Court  
Utah Supreme Court  
332 State Capitol  
Salt Lake City, Utah 84114

RE: Jaime Ramon et als -v- Boyd J. Farr et als.  
Case No. 20481

Dear Mr. Butler:

Pursuant to and in accordance with the provisions of Rule 24(j) of the Utah Rules of Appellate Procedure, we are advising the Court of pertinent and significant authorities which have come to the attention of Appellant after the filing of Appellant's Brief. These supplemental authorities pertain to the issue of whether or not the Trial Court erred in refusing to grant Plaintiff's requested instruction with respect to the legal ramifications of using a drug not recommended by the manufacturer. This point is designated as Appellant's Point I which begins on page 8 of Appellant's Brief. The supplemental authorities cited are:

1. Thomas -v- Carter, No. 56,874, Slip op., (Miss. Oct 7, 1987). 56 U.S.L.W. 2278
2. Nolan -v- Dillon, 276 A.2d 36 (Md., 1971)
3. Wasem -v- Liskowski, 274 N.W.2d 219 (N.D., 1979)
4. Paul -v- Boschenstein, 482 N.Y.S.2d 870 (N.Y.A.D. 2 Dept.)

FILED  
FEB 17 1988

Clk, Sec. (Utah)

Page 2  
Mr. Geoffrey J. Butler  
February 12, 1988

KING & KING


The reason for submitting these supplemental citations is that they have come to the attention of Appellant since filing of Appellant's Brief.

A copy of this letter has been sent to Counsel for Respondents.

Thank you very much

Very truly yours,

KING & KING



Felshaw King

FK:ss

cc: Richard W. Campbell, Esquire  
2485 Grant Avenue, Suite 200  
Ogden, Utah 84401

David H. Epperson, Esquire  
650 Clark Leaming Office Center  
175 South West Temple  
Salt Lake City, Utah 84101

Darwin Hansen, Esquire  
110 West Center  
Bountiful, Utah 84010