

1988

# Jaine Ramon et al. v. Boyd J. Farr et al. : Supplement

Utah Supreme Court

Follow this and additional works at: [https://digitalcommons.law.byu.edu/byu\\_sc1](https://digitalcommons.law.byu.edu/byu_sc1)



Part of the [Law Commons](#)

Original Brief Submitted to the Utah Supreme Court; digitized by the Howard W. Hunter Law Library, J. Reuben Clark Law School, Brigham Young University, Provo, Utah; machine-generated OCR, may contain errors.

Richard W. Campbell; Campbell and Neeley; Attorney for Respondent.

Felshaw King; David H. Epperson; Darwin Hansen; Attorneys for Appellants

---

## Recommended Citation

Supplemental Submission, *Ramon v. Farr*, No. 198820481.00 (Utah Supreme Court, 1988).

[https://digitalcommons.law.byu.edu/byu\\_sc1/2416](https://digitalcommons.law.byu.edu/byu_sc1/2416)

This Supplemental Submission is brought to you for free and open access by BYU Law Digital Commons. It has been accepted for inclusion in Utah Supreme Court Briefs by an authorized administrator of BYU Law Digital Commons. Policies regarding these Utah briefs are available at [http://digitalcommons.law.byu.edu/utah\\_court\\_briefs/policies.html](http://digitalcommons.law.byu.edu/utah_court_briefs/policies.html). Please contact the Repository Manager at [hunterlawlibrary@byu.edu](mailto:hunterlawlibrary@byu.edu) with questions or feedback.

UTAH SUPREME COURT  
BRIEF

RICHARD W. CAMPBELL  
ROBERT L. NEELEY  
UTAH  
DOCUMENT  
SYNTHIA CAMPBELL  
MICHAEL S. JUNK

45.9

.S9

DOCKET NO

1988 20481

CAMPBELL & NEELEY

Attorneys at Law

2485 GRANT AVENUE, SUITE 200  
OGDEN, UTAH 84401  
(801) 621-3646 — (801) 546-6264

February 22, 1988

Mr. Geoffrey J. Butler  
Clerk of the Court  
Utah Supreme Court  
332 State Capitol  
Salt Lake City, UT 84114

RE: Jaime Ramon et al. v. Boyd J. Farr et al., Case  
No. 20481

Dear Mr. Butler:

I have Mr. King's letter of February 12, received at our office on February 18, citing additional authorities pursuant to Rule 24(j) of the Utah Rules of Appellate Procedure.

Respondent has no objection to his letter; we deem those authorities, particularly Wasem v. Liskowski, 274 N.W. 2d, 219 (N.D., 1979), consistent with our position as set forth in brief and oral argument.

Respectfully,

CAMPBELL & NEELEY



RICHARD W. CAMPBELL  
Attorney for Respondent

RWC:paz

cc: Felshaw King, Esquire  
Post Office Box 220  
251 East 200 South  
Clearfield, Utah 84015

David H. Epperson, Esquire  
650 Clark Leaming Office Center  
175 South West Temple  
Salt Lake City, Utah 84101

Darwin Hansen, Esquire  
110 West Center  
Bountiful, Utah 84010

FILED

FEB 24 1988