

1981

Hal Taylor Associates, a Utah Corporation v.  
Unionamerica, Inc., a Corporation, aka Westmor;  
Ramshire, Inc., a Corporation; William R.  
Stevenson; Park City Reservations, Inc, a  
Corporation dba Skyline Realty; Harry F. Reed and  
Gary Cole : Brief of Appellant

Utah Supreme Court

Follow this and additional works at: [https://digitalcommons.law.byu.edu/uofu\\_sc2](https://digitalcommons.law.byu.edu/uofu_sc2)

 Part of the [Law Commons](#)

Original Brief submitted to the Utah Supreme Court; funding for digitization provided by the Institute of Museum and Library Services through the Library Services and Technology Act, administered by the Utah State Library, and sponsored by the S.J. Quinney Law Library; machine-generated OCR, may contain errorsP.S. Prince, Jr.; Attorney for Respondents Unionamerica, Inc., Ramshire, Inc., and William R. StevensonStephen G. Crockett; Attorney for Respondents Park City Reservations, Inc., Harry F. Reed and Gary ColeKent B. Linebaugh; Attorneys for Appellant

---

#### Recommended Citation

Brief of Appellant, *Hal Taylor Associates v. Unionamerica*, No. 17359 (Utah Supreme Court, 1981).  
[https://digitalcommons.law.byu.edu/uofu\\_sc2/2516](https://digitalcommons.law.byu.edu/uofu_sc2/2516)

This Brief of Appellant is brought to you for free and open access by BYU Law Digital Commons. It has been accepted for inclusion in Utah Supreme Court Briefs (1965 –) by an authorized administrator of BYU Law Digital Commons. For more information, please contact [hunterlawlibrary@byu.edu](mailto:hunterlawlibrary@byu.edu).

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF UTAH

HAL TAYLOR ASSOCIATES, a Utah  
Corporation,

Plaintiff-Appellant,

vs.

UNIONAMERICA, INC., a corpora-  
tion, aka WESTMOR; RAMSHIRE,  
INC., a corporation; WILLIAM  
R. STEVENSON; PARK CITY RESER-  
VATIONS, INC, a corporation  
dba SKYLINE REALTY; HARRY F.  
REED and GARY COLE,

Defendants-Respondents.

Case No. 17359

BRIEF OF APPELLANT

APPEAL FROM A JUDGMENT  
OF THE THIRD JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT  
IN AND FOR SUMMIT COUNTY, STATE OF UTAH  
HONORABLE JAMES S. SAWAYA, JUDGE

Kent B Linebaugh, Esq.  
JARDINE, LINEBAUGH, BROWN & DUNN  
370 East South Temple, Suite 401  
Salt Lake City, Utah 84111  
Attorneys for Appellant

F. S. Prince, Jr. Esq.  
PRINCE, YEATES & GELDZHALER  
424 East 5th South, Third Floor  
Salt Lake City, Utah 84111  
Attorneys for Respondents  
Unionamerica, Inc., Ramshire, Inc., and  
William R. Stevenson

Stephen G. Crockett, Esq.  
MARTINEAU, ROOKER, LARSEN & KIMBALL  
36 South State Street, No. 1800  
Salt Lake City, Utah 84111  
Attorneys for Respondents  
Park City Reservations, Inc., Harry F. Reed  
and Gary Cole

FILED

MAR -9 1981

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF UTAH

---

HAL TAYLOR ASSOCIATES, a Utah  
Corporation,

Plaintiff-Appellant,

vs.

UNIONAMERICA, INC., a corpora-  
tion, aka WESTMOR; RAMSHIRE,  
INC., a corporation; WILLIAM  
R. STEVENSON; PARK CITY RESER-  
VATIONS, INC, a corporation  
dba SKYLINE REALTY; HARRY F.  
REED and GARY COLE,

Defendants-Respondents.

---

Case No. 17359

BRIEF OF APPELLANT

APPEAL FROM A JUDGMENT  
OF THE THIRD JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT  
IN AND FOR SUMMIT COUNTY, STATE OF UTAH  
HONORABLE JAMES S. SAWAYA, JUDGE

Kent B Linebaugh, Esq.  
JARDINE, LINEBAUGH, BROWN & DUNN  
370 East South Temple, Suite 401  
Salt Lake City, Utah 84111  
Attorneys for Appellant

F. S. Prince, Jr. Esq.  
PRINCE, YEATES & GELDZHALER  
424 East 5th South, Third Floor  
Salt Lake City, Utah 84111  
Attorneys for Respondents  
Unionamerica, Inc., Ramshire, Inc., and  
William R. Stevenson

Stephen G. Crockett, Esq.  
MARTINEAU, ROOKER, LARSEN & KIMBALL  
36 South State Street, No. 1800  
Salt Lake City, Utah 84111  
Attorneys for Respondents  
Park City Reservations, Inc., Harry F. Reed  
and Gary Cole

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
STATEMENT OF THE NATURE OF THE CASE . . . . .	1
DISPOSITION IN LOWER COURT . . . . .	2
RELIEF SOUGHT ON APPEAL . . . . .	3
STATEMENT OF FACTS . . . . .	3
ARGUMENT . . . . .	31
POINT I	
THE TRIAL COURT ERRED IN AWARDING PCR A SELLING BROKER'S COMMISSION . . . . .	31
A. THE EVIDENCE IS INSUFFICIENT TO ESTABLISH THAT PCR WAS THE PROCURING CAUSE OF THE SUBJECT SALE . . . . .	31
B. PCR WAS NOT A LICENSED BROKER, THEREFORE LACKED CAPACITY TO SUE, AND THEREFORE PCR'S COUNTERCLAIM SHOULD HAVE BEEN DISMISSED FOR LACK OF SUBJECT MATTER JURISDICTION . . . . .	44
C. PCR CONDUCTED ITS BUSINESS AND PROSECUTED ITS COUNTERCLAIM UNDER AN ASSUMED NAME WITHOUT HAVING FILED A CERTIFICATE OF ASSUMED NAME, THERE- FORE PCR LACKED CAPACITY TO SUE, AND THEREFORE PCR'S COUNTERCLAIM SHOULD HAVE BEEN DISMISSED FOR LACK OF SUB- JECT MATTER JURISDICTION . . . . .	49
D. THE TRIAL COURT ERRED IN NOT AWARDING APPELLANT COMPENSATORY DAMAGES RESULTING FROM PCR'S BREACH OF FIDUCIARY DUTY OR ITS DUTY TO ACT IN GOOD FAITH, AND SUCH DAMAGES ARE A TOTAL OFFSET TO PCR'S CLAIM AGAINST APPELLANT . . . . .	52
E. RELIEF REQUESTED WITH RESPECT TO POINT I . . . . .	60

## POINT II

ASSUMING THAT THE TRIAL COURT WAS CORRECT  
IN HOLDING THAT PCR WAS ENTITLED TO RECOVER  
A SELLING BROKER'S COMMISSION FROM APPELLANT,  
THE TRIAL COURT ERRED IN FINDING AND CON-  
CLUDING THAT APPELLANT WAS NOT ENTITLED TO  
ADDITIONAL COMPENSATORY DAMAGES FROM UNION-  
AMERICA AND STEVENSON IN AN AMOUNT EQUAL  
TO THE AMOUNT AWARDED TO PCR . . . . . 60

A. APPELLANT IS ENTITLED TO RECOVER  
COMPENSATORY DAMAGES FROM UNIONAMERICA  
AND STEVENSON AS A RESULT OF THEIR  
BREACH OF FIDUCIARY DUTY . . . . . 60

B. THE TRIAL COURT RELIED ON JUDGE  
CROFT'S ORDER, SUCH ORDER IS PARTIALLY  
IN ERROR IN HOLDING THAT THERE WAS NO  
IMPLIED AGREEMENT TO DIRECT ANY WALK-IN  
TO APPELLANT, AND THEREFORE ANY LIABI-  
LITY FROM APPELLANT TO PCR WAS  
WRONGFULLY CREATED . . . . . 62

C. RELIEF REQUESTED WITH RESPECT TO  
POINT II . . . . . 66

## POINT III

THE TRIAL COURT ERRED IN HOLDING THAT APPEL-  
LANT WAS NOT ENTITLED TO AN AWARD OF PUNITIVE  
DAMAGES . . . . . 66

A. THE TRIAL COURT ERRED IN FINDING  
THAT UNIONAMERICA ACTED REASONABLY IN  
DEPOSITING ALL OF THE COMMISSION WITH  
THE ESCROW HOLDER, AND CONCLUDING THAT  
THERE WAS NO BASIS FOR APPELLANT'S  
CLAIM AGAINST UNIONAMERICA FOR PUNITIVE  
DAMAGES . . . . . 66

B. THE TRIAL COURT ERRED IN CONCLUDING  
THAT THERE WAS NO BASIS FOR APPELLANT'S  
CLAIM AGAINST REED, COLE AND PCR FOR  
PUNITIVE DAMAGES . . . . . 70

C. RELIEF REQUESTED WITH RESPECT TO  
POINT III . . . . . 70

## POINT IV

THE TRIAL COURT ERRED IN DETERMINING THAT APPELLANT WAS NOT ENTITLED TO AN AWARD OF ATTORNEYS' FEES AND COSTS AGAINST UNION-AMERICA, AND APPELLANT IS ENTITLED TO SUCH AWARD INCLUDING SUCH ATTORNEYS' FEES AND COSTS INCURRED WITH RESPECT TO THIS APPEAL . . .	71
A. THE TRIAL COURT ERRED IN DETERMINING THAT APPELLANT WAS ENTITLED TO AN AWARD OF ATTORNEYS' FEES AND COSTS FOR PROSECUTING COUNT I OF THE FOURTH AMENDED COMPLAINT . . . . .	71
B. THE TRIAL COURT ERRED IN DETERMINING THAT APPELLANT WAS NOT ENTITLED TO AN AWARD OF ATTORNEYS' FEES AND COSTS FOR PROSECUTING COUNT III OF THE FOURTH AMENDED COMPLAINT . . . . .	73
C. APPELLANT IS ENTITLED TO AN AWARD OF ATTORNEYS FEES AND COSTS INCURRED WITH RESPECT TO THIS APPEAL . . . . .	74
D. RELIEF REQUESTED WITH RESPECT TO POINT IV . . . . .	75
CONCLUSION . . . . .	75

# AUTHORITIES CITED

## CASES

	Page
<u>Ammerman v. Farmer's Insurance Exchange</u> , 19 Utah 2d 261, 430 P.2d 576 (1967) . . . . .	55
<u>Bates v. Bates</u> , Utah, 560 P.2d 706 (1977) . . . . .	75
<u>Boise Dodge, Inc. v. Clark</u> , 92 Idaho 904, 453 P.2d 551 (1969) . . . . .	68
<u>Blodgett v. Martsch</u> , Utah, 590 P.2d 298 (1978) . . . . .	57, 8
<u>Carlsen v. Zane</u> , 67 Cal. Rptr. 747 (1968) . . . . .	32, 1
<u>Centurian Corp. v. Kripps</u> , January 29, 1981 . . . . .	75
<u>Christenson v. Johnson</u> , 90 Utah 273, 61 P.2d 593 (1936) . . . . .	50
<u>Corbitt v. Robinson</u> , 53 So.2d 259, La. App. (1951) . . . . .	36
<u>Diversified General Corp. v. White Barn Golf Course Inc.</u> , Utah, 584 P.2d 848 (1978) . . . . .	45
<u>Dixson v. Kattel</u> , 331 So.2d 827, Fla. App. (1975) . . . . .	35, 36
<u>Folz v. Begnoche</u> , 222 Ka. 383, 565 P.2d 592 (1977) . . . . .	32
<u>Frederick May v. Dunn</u> , 13 Utah 2d 40, 368 P.2d 266 (1962) . . . . .	34
<u>Frisell v. Newman</u> , 71 Wa.2d 520, 429 P.2d 864 (1967) . . . . .	33
<u>Global Recreation, Inc. v. Cedar Hills Development Co.</u> , Utah, 614 P.2d 155 (1980) . . . . .	45
<u>Harkey v. Gahagan</u> , 338 So.2d 133, La. App.2d Cir. (1976) . . . . .	35
<u>Hayden v. Ashley</u> , 86 Wa. 653, 150 P. 1147 (1915) . . . . .	36

	Page
<u>J-B Motors v. Margolis</u> , 75 Ariz. 392, 257 P.2d 588 (1953) . . . . .	36
<u>Jones v. Torrance</u> , 141 S.W.2d 1007, Tex. Civ. App. (1940) . . . . .	35
<u>Kadane v. Clark</u> , 134 S.W.2d 448 Tex. Civ. App. (1939) . . . . .	36
<u>Marshall v. White</u> , 254 F. Supp. 514 (D.C.N.C. 1965) . .	36
<u>Nash v. Craig Co., Inc.</u> , Utah, 585 P.2d 755 (1978) . . . . .	67, 70
<u>Neumeier v. Spurzel</u> , 223 Minn. 60, 25 N.W.2d 651 (1946) . . . . .	36
<u>Oakason v. Lisbon Valley Uranium Co.</u> , 154 F. Supp. 692 (D.C. Ut. 1957) . . . . .	50
<u>Patterson v. Blair</u> , 123 Utah 216, 257 P.2d 944 (1953) . . . . .	32
<u>Platt v. Locke</u> , 11 U.2d 273, 358 P.2d 95 (1961) . . . .	50
<u>Reese v. Harper</u> , 80 Utah 2d 199, 329 P.2d 410 (1958) . . . . .	55, 56
<u>Reich v. Christopolos</u> , 123 Utah 132, 256 P.2d 238 (1952) . . . . .	56
<u>Shaw v. Abraham</u> , 12 Utah 2d 150, 364 P.2d 70 (1961) . . . . .	56
<u>Shelton v. Tapley</u> , ___ Ok. ___, 328 P.2d 672 (1958) . . . . .	36
<u>Sproul v. Sparks</u> , 116 Utah 368, 210 P.2d 436 (1949) . . . . .	67
<u>Stanson v. McDonald</u> , 147 Oh. 191, 70 N.E.2d 359 169 A.L.R. 760 (1946) . . . . .	44
<u>Swain v. Salt Lake Real Estate and Investment Co.</u> , 3 U.2d 121, 279 P.2d 709 (1955) . . . . .	75
<u>Tatsuno v. Kasai</u> , 70 Utah 203, 259 P. 318, 62 A.L.R. 54 (1927) . . . . .	55

	Page
<u>Ware v. DosPassos</u> , 38 N.Y.S. 673 (1896) . . . . .	35
<u>Wilson v. Sewell</u> , 50 N.M. 121, 171 P.2d 647 (1946) . . . . .	35
<u>Wheaton v. Ramsey</u> , 92 Idaho 33, 436 P.2d 248 (1968) . . . . .	45
<u>White v. Ragel</u> , 82 N.M. 644, 485 P.2d 978 1971) . . . . .	33,

## STATUTES

Utah Code Ann. 1953, as amended, § 42-2-5 . . . . .	49
Utah Code Ann. 1953, as amended, § 42-2-10 . . . . .	50,
Utah Code Ann. 1953, as amended, § 61-2-1 . . . . .	44,
Utah Code Ann. 1953, as amended, § 61-2-2 . . . . .	44
Utah Code Ann. 1953, as amended, § 61-2-5(b) . . . . .	58
Utah Code Ann. 1953, as amended, § 61-2-6(a) . . . . .	58
Utah Code Ann. 1953, as amended, § 61-2-6(b) . . . . .	58
Utah Code Ann. 1953, as amended, § 61-2-9(c) . . . . .	44
Utah Code Ann. 1953, as amended, § 61-2-18(a) . . . . .	44,
Utah Code Ann. 1953, as amended, § 61-2-18(b) . . . . .	46
Utah Code Ann. 1953, as amended, § 78-3-4 . . . . .	46
Utah Consti. Art. 8, § 7 . . . . .	46

Utah State Securities Commission, Real Estate Division, Rule 19 . . . . .	58, 59, 70
--	------------

## SECONDARY AUTHORITIES

42 A.L.R.2d 516, 533, § 7 . . . . .	50
45 A.L.R.3d 190 . . . . .	33
71 A.L.R.3d 586, § 21 et. seq. . . . .	33
88 A.L.R.2d 936 § 10 . . . . .	32
169 A.L.R. 764 . . . . .	45
169 A.L.R. 767 . . . . .	44
3 Am. Jur.2d <u>Agency</u> § 199 . . . . .	54
3 Am. Jur.2d <u>Agency</u> § 239 . . . . .	61
12 Am. Jur.2d <u>Brokers</u> § 84 . . . . .	56
12 Am. Jur.2d <u>Brokers</u> § 167 . . . . .	60
12 Am. Jur.2d <u>Brokers</u> § 178 . . . . .	44
12 Am. Jur.2d <u>Brokers</u> § 226 . . . . .	32, 61
12 Am. Jur.2d <u>Brokers</u> § 227 . . . . .	32
37 Am. Jur.2d <u>Fraud and Deceit</u> § 15 . . . . .	57
37 Am. Jur.2d <u>Fraud and Deceit</u> § 16 . . . . .	54
59 Am. Jur.2d <u>Parties</u> § 20 . . . . .	46
59 Am. Jur.2d <u>Parties</u> § 31 . . . . .	46
<u>Black's Law Dictionary</u> , Fifth Ed (1979) . . . . .	55, 58
<u>Moore's Federal Practice</u> , Volume 2A, § 1207 . . . . .	47
Volume 3 Spring, 1979, Department of Business Regulations, Real Estate Division, "Utah Real Estate News" . . . . .	33

	Page
Restatement of Torts.2d § 871a . . . . .	64,
61 Minnesota Law Review 207 (1977), "Punitive Damages in the Law of Contract: the Reality and the Illusion of Legal Change" . . . . .	69
8 Indiana Law Review 668 (1975), "The Expanding Availability of Punitive Damages in Contract Actions" . . . . .	69

## APPENDICES

- Appendix A - The June 4, 1979 order of Judge Bryant H. Croft ("Judge Croft's Order").
- Appendix B - The Trial Court's Memorandum Decision ("Memorandum Decision").
- Appendix C - The Trial Court's Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law ("Trial Court Findings") or ("Trial Court Conclusions") as the case may be.
- Appendix D - The Trial Court's Judgment ("Judgment").
- Appendix E - The February 17, 1977 letter agreement between Appellant, Unionamerica, Inc. and Greater Park City Corporation (the "Settlement Agreement").
- Appendix F - The February 17, 1977 vacant property listing between Appellant and Ramshire, Inc. (the "Listing").
- Appendix G - Cited provisions of the State of Utah Real Estate License Law (the "License Law") Title 62, Chapter 2 of Utah Code Annotated (1953) as amended.
- Appendix H - Rule 19 of the State of Utah Real Estate License Law Rules and Regulations issued by the Department of Business Regulations, Real Estate Division, effective November 1, 1973 ("Rule 19").
- Appendix I - Cited provisions of the State of Utah Conducting Business Under an Assumed Name Law (the "Assumed Name Law") Title 42, Chapter 2, Utah Code Annotated (1953) as amended.