

1983

Lloydona Peters Enterprises, Inc. v. Dale M. Dorius and Deloris P. Dorius : Objection to Petition for Rehearing

Utah Supreme Court

Follow this and additional works at: https://digitalcommons.law.byu.edu/uofu_sc2

 Part of the [Law Commons](#)

Original Brief submitted to the Utah Supreme Court; funding for digitization provided by the Institute of Museum and Library Services through the Library Services and Technology Act, administered by the Utah State Library, and sponsored by the S.J. Quinney Law Library; machine-generated OCR, may contain errors.

Donn E. Cassity; Doris M. Harker; Daniel A. Barker; Attorneys for Plaintiff and Appellant;
Walter G. Mann; Attorneys for Defendants and Respondents;

Recommended Citation

Response to Petition for Rehearing, *Lloydona Peters Enterprises v. Dorius*, No. 18059 (Utah Supreme Court, 1983).
https://digitalcommons.law.byu.edu/uofu_sc2/2667

This Response to Petition for Rehearing is brought to you for free and open access by BYU Law Digital Commons. It has been accepted for inclusion in Utah Supreme Court Briefs (1965 –) by an authorized administrator of BYU Law Digital Commons. For more information, please contact hunterlawlibrary@byu.edu.

FILED

Walter G. Mann of Mann, Hadfield & Thorne
Attorneys for defendants - respondents
P.O.Box "F"
Brigham City, Utah 84302-0906

MAR 21 1983

Clerk, Supreme Court, Utah

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF UTAH

LLOYDONA PETERS ENTERPRISES, INC.,)	
Plaintiffs-Appellant,)	
vs.)	OBJECTION TO
DALE M.DORIUS and)	PETITION FOR
DELORIS P.DORIUS,)	REHEARING.
Defendants-Respdondents..))	Case No.18059

Come now Defendants-Respondents and object to the petition for rehearing of Plaintiff-Appellant and respectfully submit the following in support:

1. That the basis of said petition is without merit.
2. That the Court did consider the issues raised by Plaintiff-Appellant and the majority opinion has already determined said issues in favor of the Defendants-Respondents.
3. That the Court did not commit an error in applying the law to the facts of this case.
4. That this objection is supported by the brief of Defendants-Respondents to be forthcoming within the time allowed by the Utah Rules of Civil Procedure Rule 76(e) (2).

FILED


MAR 21 1983

18059

Clerk, Supreme Court, Utah

WHEREFORE Defendants-Respondents respectfully
request that norehearing be granted.


DATED this 18th day of March,1983.



Walter G. Mann
Walter G. Mann
Attorney for Defendants-
Respondents

CERTIFICATE OF MAILING

I hereby certify that I mailed a true and correct
copy of the foregoing objection to petition for rehearing
to Plaintiff-Appellant's attorney, Donn E.Cassity at
136 East South Temple, Suite 900 University Club Building,
Salt Lake City,Utah 84111 this 18th day of March,1983.



Walter G. Mann
Walter G. Mann