

1990

Utah v. Wayne Wardle : Unknown

Utah Supreme Court

Follow this and additional works at: https://digitalcommons.law.byu.edu/byu_sc1

 Part of the [Law Commons](#)

Original Brief Submitted to the Utah Supreme Court; digitized by the Howard W. Hunter Law Library, J. Reuben Clark Law School, Brigham Young University, Provo, Utah; machine-generated OCR, may contain errors.

R. Paul Van Dam; attorney general; Sandra L. Sjogren; assistant attorney general; attorneys for appellee.

Gary W. Pendleton; attorney for appellant.

Recommended Citation

Legal Brief, *Utah v. Wardle*, No. 900460.00 (Utah Supreme Court, 1990).
https://digitalcommons.law.byu.edu/byu_sc1/3216

This Legal Brief is brought to you for free and open access by BYU Law Digital Commons. It has been accepted for inclusion in Utah Supreme Court Briefs by an authorized administrator of BYU Law Digital Commons. Policies regarding these Utah briefs are available at http://digitalcommons.law.byu.edu/utah_court_briefs/policies.html. Please contact the Repository Manager at hunterlawlibrary@byu.edu with questions or feedback.

OFFICE OF
THE ATTORNEY GENERAL



STATE OF UTAH

DOCUMENT

KFU

45.9

.S9

DOCKET NO. 900460 R. PAUL VAN DAM, ATTORNEY GENERAL

BRIEF

236 STATE CAPITOL • SALT LAKE CITY, UTAH 84114 • TELEPHONE 801 538 1015 • FAX NO 801 538 1121

JOSEPH E. TESCH
CHIEF DEPUTY ATTORNEY GENERAL

October 23, 1990

FILED

OCT 24 1990

900460
Clerk, Supreme Court, Utah

Geoffrey J. Butler
Clerk of the Court
Utah Supreme Court
332 State Capitol
Salt Lake City, Utah 84114

Re: State v. Wayne Wardle,

Dear Mr. Butler:

The respondent, State of Utah, hereby waives the right to file a Brief in Opposition to Petition for Writ of Certiorari in the above-referenced case pursuant to Rule 47(d), Rules of the Utah Supreme Court. This waiver does not constitute a stipulation that the petition should be granted, but rather, it is respondent's position that the petition should be denied based upon the legal analysis contained in the opinion of the Utah Court of Appeals and the respondent's brief which is attached to this letter. In the event that the Court deems an additional response by the State necessary to its determination, a Brief in Opposition will be provided.

Thank you for your consideration.

Very truly yours,

SANDRA L. SJOGREN
Assistant Attorney General
Criminal Appeals Division

SLS/pa

cc: Gary Pendleton, Attorney for Petitioner

Enclosures