# Brigham Young University Law School BYU Law Digital Commons

Utah Supreme Court Briefs (pre-1965)

1961

# Gordon S. Little v. George Beckstead : Appellant's Petition for Rehearing and Brief in Support Thereof

Utah Supreme Court

Follow this and additional works at: https://digitalcommons.law.byu.edu/uofu\_sc1
Part of the Law Commons

Original Brief submitted to the Utah Supreme Court; funding for digitization provided by the Institute of Museum and Library Services through the Library Services and Technology Act, administered by the Utah State Library, and sponsored by the S.J. Quinney Law Library; machinegenerated OCR, may contain errors.

Clark & Clark; Attorneys for Plaintiff and Appellant;

#### Recommended Citation

Petition for Rehearing, *Little v. Beckstead*, No. 9216 (Utah Supreme Court, 1961). https://digitalcommons.law.byu.edu/uofu\_sc1/3619

This Petition for Rehearing is brought to you for free and open access by BYU Law Digital Commons. It has been accepted for inclusion in Utah Supreme Court Briefs (pre-1965) by an authorized administrator of BYU Law Digital Commons. For more information, please contact hunterlawlibrary@byu.edu.

## IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF UTAN

·58 6 - 1961

Clerk, Supreme Court, Utah

corbon 8. Little

Plaintiff and Appellant

TH.

GEORGE BECKSTEAD, Shoriff of Salt Lake County

Defendant and Respondent

Case

No. 9216

APPELLANT'S PETITION FOR REHEARING AND BRIEF IN SUPPORT THEREOF

CLARK & CLARK

Attorneys for Plaintiff and Appellant

# INDEX

											2.50	
Argument. Conclusion												
	٠	•	•	•				•			3	

# TABLE OF CASES CITED

- 1. Johnson vs. Mathews, 182 Federal 2nd 677
- Moreaux vs. Ferrin, 98 Utah 450, 100 P. 2nd 560.
- 8. Little vs. Beckstead, \_\_\_\_Utah 2nd\_\_\_\_

# STATUTE CITED

Page

77-56-10 Utah Code Annotated 1953. . 2

# IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF UTAH

OORDON S. LITTLE,

Plaintiff and Appellant,

Vs.

Case

Vs.

GEORGE BECKSTEAD, Sheriff : No.

of Salt Lake County,

Defendant and Respondent.

APPELLANT'S PETITION FOR REHEARING AND BRIEF IN SUPPORT THEREOF

Plaintiff and Appellant petitions the Monorable above-entitled Court for a rehearing in the matter of Gordon S. Little vs. George Beckstead, Sheriff of Salt Lake County, for the following reasons:

### ARGUMENT

THAT THE PLAINTIFF WAS DENIED THE RIGHT TO TEST THE LEGAL SUFFICIENCY OF THE EXTRADITION

TENERAL CAPEAR

#### PROCEEDINGS GRANTED BY STATUTE.

The Utah Code clearly allows a person arrested under a writ of extradition to test the legality of his arrest. Title 77, Chapter 56, Section 10, Utah Code Annotated, 1953. The proper process for testing the legal sufficiency and validity of plaintiff's arrest and detention is a habeas corpus proceeding. Johnson vs.

Mathews, 182 Federal 2nd 677; Moreaux vs. Ferrin, 98 Utah 450, 100 P. 2nd 560; Little vs.

Beckstead

By the cases above referred to as well as the Utah statute it clearly gives a person arrested the right himself to test the documents and records as to their legal sufficiency in a habeas corpus proceeding in the District Court. This right was not allowed the plaintiff in the habeas corpus proceedings. Although the documents were examined later by the Honorable Supreme Court, it appears that the arrested person should have the right in the District Court proceeding.

Since the District Court in the habeas corpus

peeeding did not allow the plaintiff himself
test the validity of his arrest it committed
for. The plaintiff should have a right to
allenge the sufficiency of the documents in
habeas corpus proceeding in the District Court
ther than make his initial challenge before
me Honorable Supreme Court on an appeal.

#### CONCLUSION

The proper process for testing the legal

ifficiency and validity of plaintiff's arrest

if detention is a habeas corpus proceeding.

if only place where the plaintiff has been able

challenge the legal sufficiency of the validity

his arrest has been in the Honorable Supreme

if the in a habeas corpus proceeding and this right

is been denied, petition is hereby made for a

hearing of this matter before the Honorable

preme Court.

Respectfully submitted, CLARK & CLARK

CAMIN

Calvin E. Clark

Sponsored by the S.J. Quinney Law Library, Funding for digitalities provided by the Side in the library Services and Technology 1st, administrated by the High State Library

Machine-generated OFF shall contain the services.

Served two copies upon Richard Dibblee by mailing to the County Attorney's Office, City and County Building, Salt Lake City, Utah, this 3rd day of February, 1961.



