

1991

## Singh v. Utah : Unknown

Utah Supreme Court

Follow this and additional works at: [https://digitalcommons.law.byu.edu/byu\\_sc1](https://digitalcommons.law.byu.edu/byu_sc1)

 Part of the [Law Commons](#)

Original Brief Submitted to the Utah Supreme Court; digitized by the Howard W. Hunter Law Library, J. Reuben Clark Law School, Brigham Young University, Provo, Utah; machine-generated OCR, may contain errors.

R. Paul Van Dam; Attorney General; Kenneth A. Bronston; Assistant Attorney General; Attorneys for Respondent.

Rondald S. Fujino; Charles F. Loyd, Jr.; Salt Lake Legal Defender Assoc.; Attorneys for Appellant.

---

### Recommended Citation

Legal Brief, *Singh v. Utah*, No. 910514.00 (Utah Supreme Court, 1991).  
[https://digitalcommons.law.byu.edu/byu\\_sc1/3731](https://digitalcommons.law.byu.edu/byu_sc1/3731)

This Legal Brief is brought to you for free and open access by BYU Law Digital Commons. It has been accepted for inclusion in Utah Supreme Court Briefs by an authorized administrator of BYU Law Digital Commons. Policies regarding these Utah briefs are available at [http://digitalcommons.law.byu.edu/utah\\_court\\_briefs/policies.html](http://digitalcommons.law.byu.edu/utah_court_briefs/policies.html). Please contact the Repository Manager at [hunterlawlibrary@byu.edu](mailto:hunterlawlibrary@byu.edu) with questions or feedback.

*ZVW*



DOCKET NO. 910514

R. PAUL VAN DAM - ATTORNEY GENERAL

236 STATE CAPITOL • SALT LAKE CITY, UTAH 84114 • TELEPHONE: 801-538-1015 •

STATE OF UTAH

JOSEPH E. TESCH  
CHIEF DEPUTY ATTORNEY GENERAL

December 13, 1991

**FILED**

DEC 13 1991

CLERK SUPREME COURT  
UTAH

*910514*

Geoffrey J. Butler  
Clerk of the Court  
Utah Supreme Court  
332 State Capitol  
Salt Lake City, Utah 84114

Re: State v. Channan Singh  
Court of Appeals Case No. 900497-CA

Dear Mr. Butler:

The respondent, State of Utah, hereby waives the right to file a Brief in Opposition to Petition for Writ of Certiorari in the above-referenced case pursuant to Rule 50(d), Utah Rules of Appellate Procedure. This waiver does not constitute a stipulation that the petition should be granted, but rather, it is respondent's position that the petition should be denied based upon the legal analysis contained in the Brief of Appellee and the opinion of the Utah Court of Appeals which are attached to this letter. In the event that the Court deems an additional response by the State necessary to its determination, a Brief in Opposition will be provided.

Thank you for your consideration.

Respectfully,

Kenneth A. Bronston  
Assistant Attorney General

cc: Ronald S. Fujino and Charles F. Loyd,  
Salt Lake Legal Defender Assoc.,  
Attorneys for Petitioner

Enclosures