

1992

Janet R. (Cox) Rex v. K. Norman Cox : Supplement

Utah Court of Appeals

Follow this and additional works at: https://digitalcommons.law.byu.edu/byu_ca1



Part of the [Law Commons](#)

Original Brief Submitted to the Utah Court of Appeals; digitized by the Howard W. Hunter Law Library, J. Reuben Clark Law School, Brigham Young University, Provo, Utah; machine-generated OCR, may contain errors.

Unknown.

Unknown.

Recommended Citation

Supplemental Submission, *Cox v. Cox*, No. 920818 (Utah Court of Appeals, 1992).
https://digitalcommons.law.byu.edu/byu_ca1/3822

This Supplemental Submission is brought to you for free and open access by BYU Law Digital Commons. It has been accepted for inclusion in Utah Court of Appeals Briefs by an authorized administrator of BYU Law Digital Commons. Policies regarding these Utah briefs are available at http://digitalcommons.law.byu.edu/utah_court_briefs/policies.html. Please contact the Repository Manager at hunterlawlibrary@byu.edu with questions or feedback.

FABIAN & CLENDENIN

A PROFESSIONAL CORPORATION
ATTORNEYS AT LAW

TWELFTH FLOOR
215 SOUTH STATE STREET
P.O. BOX 510210
SALT LAKE CITY, UTAH 84151
TELEPHONE (801) 531-8900
FACSIMILE (801) 596-2814

OF COUNSEL
PETER W. BILLINGS, SR.
RALPH H. MILLER

NEVADA OFFICE
2835 SOUTH JONES BLVD., SUITE 5
LAS VEGAS, NEVADA 89102
TELEPHONE (702) 367-4545
FACSIMILE (702) 252-5014

FILED

JUN 13 1994

June 10, 1994

UTAH COURT OF APPEALS BRIEF

Ms. Mary T. Noonan
Clerk of the Court
Utah Court of Appeals
230 South 500 East, #400
Salt Lake City, Utah 84102

UTAH
DOCUMENT
KFU
50

DOCKET NO. 920818

Re: Cox v. Cox, Case No. 92-0818
Citation of Supplemental Authorities

Dear Ms. Noonan:

Pursuant to Rule 24(j), Utah Rules of Appellate Procedure, Appellee submits the following citation of supplemental authorities from decisions rendered by this Court since Brief of Appellee was filed:

Page 1, Standard for Review, Baker v. Baker, 866 P.2d 540 (Ut. App., 1993), setting forth the proper standards for review for property division and award of alimony. Also applicable to pages 7, 8 and 9, Consideration of Alimony.

Pages 7, 8 and 9, Consideration of Alimony, Baker v. Baker, ibid, citing Morgan v. Morgan, 854 P.2d 559 (Ut. App., 1993), cert. denied, 860 P.2d 943; Willey v. Willey, 866 P.2d 547 (Ut. App., 1993); and Hill v. Hill, 869 P.2d 963 (Ut. App., 1994), setting forth the burden of proof which must be carried by Appellant to justify an award of alimony.

Page 36, Award of Attorney's Fees, Willey v. Willey, 866 P.2d 547 (Ut. App., 1993), setting forth the considerations which justify an award of attorney's fees.

Respectfully submitted,

M. Byron Fisher
M. Byron Fisher
Attorney for Appellee

MBF:mf

cc: Mary C. Corporon, Esq.