

1991

Larsen v. Larsen : Brief of Respondent

Utah Supreme Court

Follow this and additional works at: https://digitalcommons.law.byu.edu/byu_sc1



Part of the [Law Commons](#)

Original Brief Submitted to the Utah Supreme Court; digitized by the Howard W. Hunter Law Library, J. Reuben Clark Law School, Brigham Young University, Provo, Utah; machine-generated OCR, may contain errors.

Gordon Esplin; attorney for respondent.

Stephen G. Schwendiman; assistant attorney general; attorneys for appellant.

Recommended Citation

Brief of Respondent, *Beverly Larsen v. Earnest Larsen*, No. 914593.00 (Utah Supreme Court, 1991).
https://digitalcommons.law.byu.edu/byu_sc1/3875

This Brief of Respondent is brought to you for free and open access by BYU Law Digital Commons. It has been accepted for inclusion in Utah Supreme Court Briefs by an authorized administrator of BYU Law Digital Commons. Policies regarding these Utah briefs are available at http://digitalcommons.law.byu.edu/utah_court_briefs/policies.html. Please contact the Repository Manager at hunterlawlibrary@byu.edu with questions or feedback.

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF UTAH

BEVERLY LARSEN, and the
STATE OF UTAH, by and through
Utah State Department of
Social Services,

Plaintiff and Appellant,

v.

EARNEST LARSEN,

Defendant and Respondent.

No. 14593

BRIEF OF RESPONDENT

Appeal from the judgment of the District Court
of the Third Judicial District of Salt Lake
County, Honorable Bryant H. Croft, presiding.

GORDON F. ESPLIN
Attorney at Law
Salt Lake County Bar Legal
Services, Inc.
216 East Fifth South
Salt Lake City, Utah 84111

Attorney for Respondent

STEPHEN G. SCHWENDIMAN
Assistant Attorney General
236 State Capitol Building
Salt Lake City, Utah 84114

Attorney for Appellant

FILED

OCT 29 1976

Clerk, Supreme Court, Utah

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF UTAH

BEVERLY LARSEN, and the	:	
STATE OF UTAH, by and through	:	
Utah State Department of	:	No. 14593
Social Services,	:	
	:	
Plaintiff and Appellant,	:	
	:	
v.	:	
	:	
EARNEST LARSEN,	:	
	:	
Defendant and Respondent.	:	

BRIEF OF RESPONDENT

Appeal from the judgment of the District Court
of the Third Judicial District of Salt Lake
County, Honorable Bryant H. Croft, presiding.

GORDON F. ESPLIN
Attorney at Law
Salt Lake County Bar Legal
Services, Inc.
216 East Fifth South
Salt Lake City, Utah 84111

Attorney for Respondent

STEPHEN G. SCHWENDIMAN
Assistant Attorney General
236 State Capitol Building
Salt Lake City, Utah 84114

Attorney for Appellant

Cases Cited

Page	
	Cole v. Cole,
	101 U.355, 122 P.2d 201 ----- 4
	Matthews v. Matthews,
	102 U.428, 132 P.2d 111 (1942) ----- 3
	Myers v. Myers,
	62 U.90, 218 P. 123, 30 A.L.R. 74 ----- 4
	Openshaw v. Openshaw,
	102 U.22, 126 P.2d 1068 ----- 4
	Richards v. Hodson,
	26 U.2d 113, 485 P.2d 1044 (1971) ----- 3
	Scott v. Scott,
	19 U.2d 267; 430 P.2d 580 ----- 4
	Westerfield v. Coop,
	6 U.2d 262, 311 P.2d 787 ----- 4

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
NATURE OF THE CASE -----	1
DISPOSITION OF THE LOWER COURT -----	1
RELIEF SOUNT ON APPEAL -----	2
STATEMENT OF FACTS -----	2
ARGUMENT -----	2
POINT I.	
THE MAY 26, 1967, DIVORCE DECREE OF THE THIRD DISTRICT COURT OF SALT LAKE COUNTY IS A BAR TO FURTHER LITIGATION OF RESPONDENT'S CHILD SUPPORT LIABILITY TO APPELLANT BEVERLY LARSEN OR HER ASSIGNEE, THE STATE OF UTAH, FROM THE TIME OF ITS ENTRY UNTIL IT IS MODIFIED-----	2
POINT II.	
UTAH LAW PROHIBITS THE RETROSPECTIVE MODIFICATION OF SUPPORT ORDERS-----	4
CONCLUSION -----	4

IN THE SUPREME COURT
OF THE STATE OF UTAH

BEVERLY LARSEN, and the
STATE OF UTAH, by and through
Utah State Department of
Social Services,

No. 14593

Plaintiff and Appellant,
vs.

EARNEST LARSEN,

Defendant and Respondent.

BRIEF OF RESPONDENT

Appeal from the judgment of the District Court
of the Third Judicial District of Salt Lake
County, Honorable Bryant H. Croft, presiding.

NATURE OF THE CASE

This action was initiated on March 4, 1976, by the State
of Utah to determine the amount of child support owed by
Respondent from April 1972 until February 1976.

DISPOSITION OF THE LOWER COURT

On May 4, 1976, Judge Bryant H. Croft of the Third
District Court for Salt Lake County entered an order which
held in paragraph one (1) that the amount of Respondent's
liability had been established in the May 26, 1967, divorce
decree entered herein; and in paragraph three (3) that

Appellant cannot modify Respondent's liability for past time periods; therefore, Appellant's motion for judgment in the amount of \$6,717.00 was dismissed.

RELIEF SOUGHT ON APPEAL

Affirmation of Judge Croft's May 4, 1976, order.

STATEMENT OF FACTS

On May 26, 1967, a decree of divorce was entered in this matter which required Respondent to pay the sum of one dollar (\$1.00) per year as child support because of a specific finding that he was physically disabled. (R. 24-25) Neither of the Appellants have appealed the May, 1967, decree nor have they sought relief from said decree via Rule 60 of the Utah Rules of Civil Procedure.

ARGUMENT

POINT I. THE MAY 26, 1967, DIVORCE DECREE OF THE THIRD DISTRICT COURT OF SALT LAKE COUNTY IS A BAR TO FURTHER LITIGATION OF RESPONDENT'S CHILD SUPPORT LIABILITY TO APPELLANT BEVERLY LARSEN OR HER ASSIGNEE, THE STATE OF UTAH, FROM THE TIME OF ITS ENTRY UNTIL IT IS MODIFIED.

Though Appellant's brief attacks the economic and social wisdom of the May 26, 1967, decree the record is clear that

the decree has not been attacked legally via appeal or pursuant to Rule 60 of the Utah Rules of Civil Procedure. Until the May, 1967, decree is challenged, it must be assumed valid.

Therefore the amount of Respondent's liability to Beverly Larsen is res judicata since there was a valid judgment between the same parties, Beverly and Earnest Larsen, in a prior action where the identical issue was litigated. Matthews v. Matthews 102 U.428, 132 P.2d 111 (1942); Richards v. Hodson, 26 U.2d 113, 485 P.2d 1044 (1971).

The State of Utah on page 3 of its July, 1976, brief to this Court claims its right to maintain an action against Respondent is based on Beverly Larson's September 17, 1974, assignment of her right to collect support monies owed her by Respondent. Since an assignee cannot have greater rights than the assignor, the amount of Respondent's liability is also res judicata as to the State of Utah, as they "proceed on behalf of the obligee", Beverly Larson § 78-45-9, UCA, 1953.

This comports with the general rule of law that a judicial decree or order obligating a father to pay a sum certain for support of his children is the limit of his liability (see the numerous cases cited at 24 Am Jur 2d § 835 p. 946 and 7 ALR 2d 492, § 3 p. 492). The rationale

espoused by these courts is that any other rule would invite constant squabbles over alleged extra expenditures for the support of children of divorced parties where the court has fixed a sum certain and the child and custodial parent are protected since fixed sum may be modified to reflect changed circumstances.

POINT II. UTAH LAW PROHIBITS THE RETROSPECTIVE
MODIFICATION OF SUPPORT ORDERS.

In Openshaw v. Openshaw, 102 U.22, 126 P.2d 1068, this Court held that the trial court could not modify a support money award as to installments which have already accrued and are past due because the installments became vested on their due date. Also see Myers v. Myers, 62 U.90, 218 P. 123, 30 A.L.R. 74; Cole v. Cole, 101 U.355, 122 P.2d 201; Westerfiel v. Coop,, 6 U.2d 262, 311 P.2d 787; Scott v. Scott, 19 U.2d 267 430 P.2d 580.


Therefore the Utah law is well established that the Utah Court hearing evidence on April 16, 1976, could not grant Appellant's motion to modify Respondant's support obligation which had accrued between April 1972 and February 1976 because liability had vested.

CONCLUSION

The principles of res judicata and vesting preclude Appellants from their desired relief unless they utilize

legal methods to directly challenge the May 26, 1967,
Order of the Third District Court.

Respectfully submitted this 27th day of October, 1976.


GORDON F. ESPLIN
Attorney for Respondent

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that I mailed two (2) copies of the foregoing Brief of Respondent to Mr. Stephen G. Schwendiman, Assistant Attorney General, 236 State Capitol Building, Salt Lake City, Utah 84114, this 28th day of October, 1976.

Barbara O'Shea